



Who put the political leadership in Brandenburg under Matthias Platzeck and its criminal judiciary in their place?



Matthias Platzeck,
Prime Minister
(SPD)

Ministers of Justice in Brandenburg:



Kurt Schelter (CDU)
until 08/02



Barbara Richstein (CDU)
until 10/04



Beate Blechinger (CDU)
until 10/09



Volkmar Schöneburg
(PDS/Die Linke) since 11/09

It has been known for years the Vril technology will be released to the public on January 1, 2011, unless before that date the Federal Government under Federal Chancellor Merkel has brought the question of a Peace Treaty to formally end the Occupation Statue of Germany (see → [Art. 146 GG](#) and → [Comments here](#)) to international attention. (See: Letter by the Prime Minister of the Principality of Sealand → [to Ms Merkel](#) of 20.9.2006)

In August 2008 Johannes W. F. Seiger, the Prime Minister of the Principality of Sealand, had → [written](#) to the 'Central Council of Jews in Germany'. The complete text here:

"I am forwarding to you for your inspection a partial process concerning the insolvency proceedings Sealand GmbH & Co KG as well as a further one concerning the Financial department Luckenwalde against Seiger. -

I request that you deploy your influence in such a way that the impression is dispelled that your institution was in any way involved in the illegal activities by the local authorities.

I had received a pointer to your background activities from a former District Court Director in Brandenburg."

The background might be that the PRINCIPALITY OF SEALAND in further developing the Vril technology for civil applications is able as trustee to access the research from the time of the German Reich – also done during the times of National Socialism. For this, the PRINCIPALITY OF SEALAND today is absurdly accused to be sympathetic to the regime between 1933 and 1945 – possibly knowingly disregarding that the GERMAN REICH has been in existence for more than a thousand years and is still in existence today.

O und unter Umständen zur Anhörung über eine Verfahrenseinstellung mangels Masse (§ 207 InsO)

O Termin zur Prüfung der angemeldeten Forderungen ist am _____ im Gebäude des Amtsgerichts Potsdam, Lindenstr. 6, Saal 004.

O Zur Hinterlegungsstelle (§ 149 InsO) wird bestimmt: (Hinterlegungsstelle/Bankverbindung).

2. *Der Verwalter hat am 29. 12. 99 Herrn/Frau Rechtspfleger/in zur weiteren Veranlassung.* *längere Zeit gem. § 208 Abs. 1 InsO eingeleitet.*

Potsdam, den 30. Dezember 1999

Graeber
Richter am Amtsgericht

f. d. Termine
Kraft

(Dr. 30)

May a liquidator become active (on 29.12.1999), by announcing a "deficiency of assets" to the Judicial Officer Ms Kraft before an insolvency decision had been handed down (30.12.1999)?

And this apparently had been signed personally by the renowned → [Judge at the District Court Dr. Thorsten Graeber](#)? – Inconceivable really.



It all started with the dubious signature by Judge Graeber (photo at left), a designated specialist for debtor-oriented insolvency proceedings at the District Court Potsdam: Concerning his presumably forged signature under the → [Insolvency Decision of 30.12.1999](#) Judge Graeber (see also → [here](#)) must face some questions:

1. Is it according to law to let a liquidator legally start proceedings (on 29.12.1999) before the decision to open insolvency has been handed down (30.12.1999)?
2. The original handwritten decision does not match the official copy executed by the authenticating official. Why is an official insolvency decision signed by both a judge and a judicial officer?
3. Is it at all possible that Judge Graeber has been able within a mere two days to get fully and competently acquainted with the comprehensive and complicated dossier of this insolvency request and the fundamental expert opinion, that demonstrably is based upon falsified data, to be able to sign this insolvency decision according to his good standing?
4. Has Judge Graeber really personally signed this decision? Or could the signature even be forged?
5. Was Judge Graeber bound by instructions just as had been at the time Judge at the District Court of Luckenwalde Werner Rissman (†) → [here his own statement](#)? His words were:

"As directed by the President of the Brandenburg Higher regional Court I have asked bailiff Tänzer to regard my letter of 18.3.1999 as immaterial."

The District Court Director had checked and legally approved the diplomatic immunity of the Prime Minister of the PRINCIPALITY OF SEALAND in Germany. Then he was forced by his superior to rescind his decision.

The federal German judiciary is in many legal concerns bound by instructions due to the continued existence of the occupational law in Germany. Compare: Basic Law for the FRG, Article 139: "The rules and regulations dispensed 'for the

exoneration of the German people from National Socialism and Militarism' are not touched by the provisions in this Basic Law."

Also see our documentation: → "[Concerning the Sovereignty of the FRG](#)"

On September 24, 2010 Mr Johannes W. F. Seiger had received from the District Court Potsdam the → [Decision](#) that his appeals against the unlawful insolvency procedure were "not admissible and dismissed with costs".

Despite this Mr Herr Seiger on October 18, 2010 filed a → [complaint](#) against the two liquidators, lawyers H. Albers and U. Berlitz for breach of trust, fraud, forgery of documents and false affirmation in lieu of oath to the Department of Public Prosecution in Berlin. Dated October 29, 2010, Mr Seiger received the notice that 'action' was being dealt with under the file number → [AZ 34 Js 4894/10](#).

With the same date, October 29, 2010, Mr Seiger received → [two official notifications](#) from the District Court Potsdam in which with the same words the "deletion of the deletion" of the SEALAND GMBH & CO KG was ordered (AZ: HRA 1581 P). In it w read: "After checking the proceedings it has been noticed that the deletion of the company was not admissible, that accordingly the company had to be re-registered."

Now one tries → [provably](#) to 'cleanse' the electronic trade register entries by having pieces of evidence simply disappear. After the → [deletion](#) "ex officio" and the interdiction to trade of 24.4.2000 the company was no longer existent. Nevertheless, the activities of the liquidator had been continued until 2008. In this and until today neither the rights of the creditors nor those of the aggrieved parties had been observed.

We will press charges against all involved in this process for disregard of the diplomatic immunity of the Prime Minister of the PRINCIPALITY OF SEALAND (Article 40, Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations) as well as all involved public officers for perversion of justice and hold the accountable.

In the meantime our claims for compensation against the State of Brandenburg and the liquidator have reached a sum in the two-digit million Euro area.

Wednesday, December 8, 2010

The Diplomatic Mission
of the PRINCIPALITY OF SEALAND
in the German Reich
gez. Urs Thoenen,
Member of the Government

Ahrensdorfer Strasse 7,
D-14959 Trebbin/Löwendorf
Telephone: +49 (0)700 0 7325263
Fax: +49 (0)700 7325263-1
Email: info@principality-of-sealand.ch

[This document with all attachments](#)

[\[http://www.principality-of-sealand.eu/pdf/brandjustiz/brandjustiz7E_cpl.pdf\]](http://www.principality-of-sealand.eu/pdf/brandjustiz/brandjustiz7E_cpl.pdf)
